

# Contents

Acknowledgements .....	i
Abstract .....	iii
Zusammenfassung .....	vii
Contents .....	xi
List of figures .....	xv
List of tables .....	xix
List of abbreviations .....	xxi
1. Introduction .....	1
1.1. Electromagnetic induction (EMI).....	3
1.2. Crop modelling for agricultural applications .....	6
1.4. Objectives and outline .....	9
2. Study area .....	13
2.1. Influence of soil heterogeneity on crop performance.....	15
2.2. Status of the study area in 2015 and 2016.....	16
2.3. Meteorological and ancillary data .....	18
3. Large-scale soil mapping using multi-configuration EMI and supervised image classification.....	19
3.1. Materials and methods.....	20
3.1.1. Electromagnetic induction (EMI) measurements.....	20
3.1.1.1. EMI instrumentation .....	20
3.1.1.2. EMI survey .....	21
3.1.1.3 Data filtering and interpolation .....	22
3.1.1.4. Temperature correction .....	23
3.1.2. Classification of ECa maps .....	24
3.1.4. Soil sampling for ground truth information .....	26
3.1.5. Comparison with satellite image .....	27
3.2 Results and discussion.....	28
3.2.1. Large-scale EMI survey .....	28
3.2.2. Heterogeneity at the field scale .....	33
3.2.3. Definition of the classes .....	34
3.2.4 Classified ECa map .....	35
3.2.4.1. Classified ECa map of sub-area A .....	35
3.2.4.2. Classified ECa map of sub-area B.....	36
3.2.4.3. Classified ECa map of sub-area C.....	38
3.2.4.4. Classified ECa map of sub-area D .....	40
3.2.5. Comparison with patterns in crop stress .....	42
3.3. Conclusions .....	44
4. Simulation of spatial variability of crop LAI and yield using agro-ecosystem models and geophysics-based quantitative soil information .....	47
4.1. The AgroC model.....	48

4.2 Materials and methods.....	51
4.2.1 Unique soil-crop combinations .....	51
4.2.2. Estimation of the hydraulic parameters.....	52
4.2.3. Estimation of LAI from satellite observations .....	54
4.2.4. Set-up of the AgroC simulations .....	56
4.3. Results and discussion.....	58
4.3.1. Water content simulations for field F01.....	58
4.3.2. Validation of LAI and yield simulations .....	60
4.3.2.1. Simulation of sugar beet.....	60
4.3.2.2. Simulation of corn .....	63
4.3.2.3. Simulation of potato .....	64
4.3.2.4. Simulation of winter wheat .....	65
4.3.2.5. Simulation of winter barley.....	67
4.3.2.6. Simulation of winter rapeseed.....	68
4.3.3. LAI simulations at the km <sup>2</sup> scale.....	69
4.3.4. Crop productivity simulations at the km <sup>2</sup> scale.....	71
4.4. Conclusions .....	73
5. Analysis of the added value of geophysics-based soil mapping in agro-ecosystem simulations .....	75
5.1. Materials and methods.....	76
5.1.1. Extent of the analysis within the study area.....	76
5.1.2. Commonly available soil maps .....	76
5.1.3. Similarities between sub-areas of the three soil maps.....	78
5.1.4. Unique soil-crop combinations .....	79
5.1.5. Estimation of soil hydraulic parameters.....	79
5.1.6. Set-up of AgroC simulations.....	81
5.1.7. Comparison of simulations results .....	82
5.2. Results and discussion.....	82
5.2.1. Comparison of soil descriptions in the three maps .....	82
5.2.2. Performance of LAI simulations .....	85
5.2.3. Pixel-by-pixel comparison of LAI simulation .....	87
5.2.4. Simulation of sugar beet.....	88
5.2.4.1 LAI simulation at the km <sup>2</sup> scale .....	88
5.2.4.2 AgroC simulations of sugar beet in sub-area A .....	91
5.2.4.3 AgroC simulations of sugar beet in sub-area D .....	93
5.3. Conclusions .....	95
6. Conclusions and outlook .....	99
6.1. Final conclusions.....	99
6.2. Outlook.....	103
References .....	111
Supplementary material.....	121
S1. Statistical comparison between soil profiles .....	121

S1.1 Sub-area A.....	121
S1.2 Sub-area B.....	122
S1.3 Sub-area C.....	123
S1.4 Sub-area D.....	124
S2. Additional information on the parameterization of corn, sugar beet, and winter wheat .....	126