

Contents

1. Introduction: Philosophical Preliminaries	1
1.1 Weak Absoluteness versus Strong Absoluteness	2
1.2 Moral Conflicts, Permissibility, and Stringency	4
1.3 Deontology versus Consequentialism	5
1.4 Physical Freedom versus Deontic Freedom	7
1.5 The Hohfeldian Analysis	9
1.5.1 Claim-Rights and Duties	10
1.5.2 Liberties and No-Rights	11
1.5.3 Powers and Liabilities	13
1.5.4 Immunities and Disabilities	15
1.6 The Causal/Constitutive Distinction	16
1.7 A Terse Conclusion	18
2. Components of a Theory of Freedom of Expression	20
2.1 What is Expression or Communication?	20
2.1.1 The Structure of Expression or Communication	21
2.1.1.1 Communications without Addressors	22
2.1.1.2 Communications without Other Addressees	23
2.1.2 The Distinctiveness of Communication or Expression	25
2.1.3 Low-Value Expression?	29
2.1.4 A Trichotomous Distinction	31
2.2 The Ambiguity of Some Key Phrases	33
2.3 The Principle of Freedom of Expression	35
2.3.1 Types of Neutrality	35
2.3.1.1 Communication-Neutrality	35
2.3.1.2 Two Types of Content-Neutrality	37
2.3.1.2.1 Subject-Neutrality	37
2.3.1.2.2 Viewpoint-Neutrality	38
2.3.1.3 Speaker-Neutrality	39
2.3.1.4 The Upshot of Neutrality	40
2.3.2 Restrictions and Disadvantages	43
2.3.2.1 Legal Prohibitions and Restrictions	43
2.3.2.2 Physical Obstructions and Constraints	45
2.3.2.3 Subsidies and Assistance	47
2.3.3 How are Purposes Ascertained?	48
2.3.3.1 Not a Search for Mental States	48
2.3.3.2 Surfaces, Contexts, and Statements	50
2.3.3.3 Implementation and Purposes	52

2.3.4 Private Parties	53
2.3.4.1 Hecklers and Hostile Audiences	53
2.3.4.1.1 Straightforward Points	54
2.3.4.1.2 A More Difficult Matter	56
2.3.4.2 Large Organizations	57
2.3.4.2.1 Public Fora	58
2.3.4.2.2 Hiring and Retention of Employees	60
3. Legitimate Restrictions	65
3.1 What is Communication-Independence?	66
3.2 Prohibitable Misdeeds	69
3.2.1 Solicitation to Commit a Crime	69
3.2.2 Perjury	72
3.2.3 Restrictions on Malicious or Reckless Pre-Trial Reporting	77
3.2.4 Defamation	81
3.2.5 Fraudulent Statements	87
3.2.6 Advertising of Illegalities	89
3.2.7 Disclosure of Military or Governmental Secrets	93
3.2.7.1 Newspapers and Broadcasters	94
3.2.7.2 Whistleblowers	96
3.2.8 True Threats	99
3.2.9 Negligent Misstatements	101
3.2.10 Child Pornography	107
3.2.11 Hate-Crime Laws versus Hate-Speech Laws	111
3.3 A Pithy Conclusion	113
4. Governmental Self-Restraint and Individual Self-Respect	114
4.1 A Conspectus of Some Previous Justifications	115
4.1.1 A Focus on Potential Addressors	115
4.1.2 A Focus on Potential Addressees	118
4.1.2.1 Communicative Freedoms and Democratic Self-Governance	118
4.1.2.2 A Few Queries about the Addressee-Centered Justifications	120
4.1.3 A Focus on the Good of Society	122
4.1.4 A Focus on Governmental Power	125
4.2 The Moral Foundation of the Principle of Freedom of Expression	128
4.2.1 The Paramount Moral Responsibility	129
4.2.1.1 Warranted Self-Respect	129
4.2.1.2 A Government's Responsibility to Promote Warranted Self-Respect	131
4.2.1.2.1 Edificatory Perfectionism Rejected	132
4.2.1.2.2 Aspirational Perfectionism Championed	132
4.2.2 The Paramount Responsibility and the Principle of Freedom of Expression	134
4.2.2.1 Shakespeare on Self-Restraint and Strength	135

4.2.2.1.1	The Eagle and the Little Birds	135
4.2.2.1.2	Bird-Bolts and Cannon-Bullets	135
4.2.2.2	The Dynamic of Self-Aggrandizement and Self-Abasement	136
4.2.2.2.1	A Government-Focused Justification	137
4.2.2.2.2	Overweeningness and Demeaningness: Lessons from Olivia and Tamora	138
4.2.2.2.3	Overweeningness and Demeaningness: The Quidnunc Mentality	139
4.2.2.2.4	Overweeningness and Demeaningness: Harmful Communications	142
4.2.2.3	The Connection to Warranted Self-Respect	147
4.2.2.4	Return to a Troubling Thought-Experiment	153
5.	Pornography, Subordination, and Silencing	160
5.1	Preliminary Clarifications	161
5.2	An Elementary Précis of Speech-Act Philosophy	165
5.3	Langton on the Subordination of Women by Pornography	166
5.3.1	The Felicity Condition of Authority	167
5.3.2	Starkly De Facto Authority versus Morally Binding Authority	168
5.3.3	Practical Authority versus Epistemic Authority	171
5.3.3.1	Starkly De Facto Practical Authority from Putative Epistemic Authority?	172
5.3.3.1.1	The Capable Doctor	173
5.3.3.1.2	The Inept Doctor	176
5.3.3.2	The Catholic Church as a Point of Contrast	177
5.3.3.3	Conflations of Epistemic Authority and Practical Authority	179
5.3.4	Authority from the Pragmatics of Discourse	183
5.3.4.1	Presuppositions and Accommodation	183
5.3.4.2	The Accommodation of Presuppositions of Authority	185
5.3.4.2.1	The Racist Diatribe	185
5.3.4.2.2	The Political Poster	192
5.3.4.2.3	The Burning of the Cross	193
5.3.4.2.4	The Teacher's Helper	195
5.3.4.2.5	The Presumptuous Student	196
5.3.4.2.6	The Organizer of a Hike	197
5.3.4.2.7	The Director of Traffic	199
5.3.5	Subordination without Special Authority through the Pragmatics of Discourse?	201
5.3.5.1	Impermissibility versus Inappropriateness	202
5.3.5.2	A Conversation without Interlocutors	205
5.3.5.3	Beyond the Conversation	208
5.4	Langton on the Silencing of Women by Pornography	210
5.4.1	Illocutionary Disablement	211
5.4.2	A Contemporary Application	213
5.4.3	The Matter of Uptake	214
5.4.3.1	Two Clarifications	216

5.4.3.2	In Search of a Justification	217
5.4.3.2.1	Reciprocity in General	217
5.4.3.2.2	Jacobson's Example Redux	221
5.4.3.2.3	The Ethical Upshot	222
5.4.4	A Conflict within the Principle of Freedom of Expression?	224
5.4.4.1	"Freedom of Expression" as a Principle of Political Morality	225
5.4.4.2	"Freedom of Expression" as Deontic Liberties and Normative Immunities	228
5.4.4.3	"Freedom of Expression" as Physical Freedoms	229
5.5	Pornography and Freedom of Expression	233
5.5.1	Easterbrook's Inadequate Rationale	233
5.5.2	Directness and Proximity versus Diffuseness and Remoteness	234
5.5.3	The Status of Pornography	237
5.5.4	Points of Contrast	238
5.5.5	Back to Pornography	240
6.	Hatred, Dignity, and Freedom of Expression	244
6.1	Points Not in Controversy	245
6.1.1	Fighting Words and True Threats	245
6.1.2	Acts of Discrimination	247
6.1.3	Targeted Harassment	249
6.1.4	The Protectedness of Offensive Communications	251
6.1.4.1	A Genuine Distinction	252
6.1.4.2	A Different Justification	253
6.1.4.3	The Pragmatics of Communications	254
6.1.5	Political Parties	256
6.1.6	Hiring Decisions	257
6.1.7	Unfitness for Employment	258
6.1.8	Incitement	260
6.1.9	Philosophy Rather Than Constitutional Theory	262
6.2	Waldron's Case in Favor of Hate-Speech Laws	265
6.3	A First Rejoinder to Waldron: Not Doing Nothing	269
6.4	A Second Rejoinder to Waldron: The Demeaningness of Hate-Speech Legislation	276
6.4.1	Group Libel versus Individual Libel	279
6.4.2	The Robustness of a Liberal-Democratic Ethos	282
6.4.2.1	An Impression of Precariousness	282
6.4.2.2	Waldron in a Different Key	284
6.4.2.3	Philosophy Rather Than Social Science	286
6.5	Riding Roughshod over Freedom of Expression	289
7.	Conclusion: The Principle of Freedom of Expression in Practice	296
7.1	Liberal Democracy and the Scalar Character of Compliance	299
7.2	Remedies for Contraventions	302
7.2.1	Individual Wrongdoing by Officials	302
7.2.2	Contraventions at the Level of General Laws	304

7.2.3 The Avoidance of Contraventions through Anticipatory Measures	306
7.3 Overdemandingness?	307
7.3.1 Drawing the Apt Conclusion	308
7.3.2 Moral Conflicts and the Principle of Freedom of Expression	308
7.4 Prescinding from Details of Implementation	311
7.4.1 Burdens of Proof	313
7.4.2 Who Decides?	314
<i>References</i>	319
<i>Index</i>	333