

Wiebke Brockhaus

Final Devoicing in the Phonology of German

Max Niemeyer Verlag
Tübingen 1995



Table of contents

Abbreviations and Notational Conventions	ix
Acknowledgments	xi
Introduction and Overview	1
1. The 'Facts' of Final Obstruent Devoicing	3
1.1 Introduction	3
1.2 FOD in German	6
1.2.1 Historical development	6
1.2.2 The application of FOD in present-day German <i>Hochlautung</i>	6
1.2.2.1 Introduction	6
1.2.2.2 Voicing alternations	11
1.2.2.3 Distributional constraints affecting voicing	22
1.3 Conclusion	23
2. 25 Years of FOD: Earlier Approaches from 1968 to 1993	24
2.1 Introduction	24
2.2 What is FOD?	25
2.2.1 The textbook solution: [- son] → [- voice]	25
2.2.2 The textbook solution in a different guise: [- son] → [+ tense]	27
2.2.3 Devoicing as delinking/deletion	31
2.2.4 Constraints on the licensing of a privative [voice] feature	33
2.2.5 Conclusion	34
2.3 The link between the 'what' and the 'where'	34
2.4 Where does FOD occur?	37
2.4.1 Introduction	37
2.4.2 FOD applies preceding a #-boundary	37
2.4.2.1 Vennemann 1968	37
2.4.2.1.1 The analysis	37
2.4.2.1.2 Problems with Vennemann's 1968 analysis	41
2.4.2.1.2.1 Using a #-boundary to represent a syllable-boundary	41
2.4.2.1.2.2 Deleting a single internal #-boundary before a vowel	44
2.4.2.1.2.3 Dealing with variable items: 'unpronounceable' clusters	46
2.4.2.1.2.4 Summary	49
2.4.2.2 Kloeke 1982 (and Wurzel 1970)	49
2.4.2.2.1 The analysis	49
2.4.2.2.2 Problems with Kloeke's analysis	51
2.4.3 FOD applies immediately before a syllable boundary	54

2.4.3.1 Introduction	54
2.4.3.2 Wiese 1988 and Hall 1992b: the analysis	54
2.4.3.3 Wiese 1988 and Hall 1992b: some potential problems	65
2.4.4 FOD applies to segments in a coda	67
2.4.4.1 Introduction	67
2.4.4.2 Rubach 1990: the analysis	68
2.4.4.3 Some problems with Rubach's analysis	72
2.4.5 The feature [voice] is licensed only in a syllable onset:	
Lombardi's (1991) analysis and its problems	85
2.5 Conclusion	88
3. What is Final Obstruent Devoicing? A Government Phonology Approach	89
3.1 Introduction	89
3.2 Phonological licensing, government, 'ground rules' and some principles of grammar	90
3.2.1 Phonological licensing and government	90
3.2.2 'Ground rules' and some principles of grammar	99
3.3 Phonological elements and segmental representations in GP	104
3.3.1 Phonological elements in GP	104
3.3.2 Segmental representations of some German obstruents	107
3.3.3 Segmental representations of some German sonorants	112
3.4 What are the changes involved in FOD?	116
3.4.1 What is 'voicing'?	116
3.4.1.1 Some general cues to the voicing contrast	116
3.4.1.2 F_0 perturbations and the voicing contrast	119
3.4.2 What are the options for FOD made available by GP?	123
3.4.3 Final obstruent devoicing as loss of lexical L	127
3.4.3.1 A typical weakening process?	127
3.4.3.2 What are the implications of losing L?	129
3.4.3.2.1 FOD is not a neutralisation process in the sense of Kiparsky 1976	129
3.4.3.2.2 Syllable-final devoicing is not a dynamic phonological process	139
3.4.3.2.3 Some devoiced obstruents can govern only homorganic nasals	149
3.5 Conclusion	156
4. Where Does Final Obstruent Devoicing Occur?	
A Government Phonology Approach	158
4.1 Introduction	158
4.2 Straightforward FOD environments	158
4.2.1 Word-final and term-final in compounds	158
4.2.2 Before certain suffixes	165
4.3 FOD as an instance of autosegmental licensing	171
4.4 FOD in Vennemann's variable items	185

4.4.1 Introduction	185
4.4.2 FOD preceding domain-internal licensed empty nuclei	188
4.4.2.1 Impossible alternative structures	188
4.4.2.2 Proper government and the Empty Category Principle	196
4.4.2.3 An alternative solution: differences in morphological structure between <i>Hochlautung</i> and NSG	198
4.4.2.4 More on problematic clusters	201
4.4.2.5 Summary and interonset licensing	206
4.4.2.6 Schwa-ful versus schwa-less forms	211
4.5 Some problems with Rubach's analysis revisited	226
4.5.1 Introduction	226
4.5.2 Native speaker judgments on the location of syllable boundaries	226
4.5.3 The special properties of /r/	228
4.6 Apparent failure of FOD to apply word-finally	233
4.7 Conclusion	236
5. Final Obstruent Devoicing and Neutralisation	238
5.1 Introduction	238
5.2 Neutralisation and the traditional place of FOD	238
5.2.1 Two views of neutralisation: Trubetzkoy and Kiparsky	238
5.2.2 Earlier analyses of FOD and their implications for FOD as a neutralisation process	241
5.3 The neutralisation debate in the experimental literature	242
5.3.1 The studies and their findings	242
5.3.2 The relationship between production and perception	245
5.3.3 The implementation of FOD	246
5.3.4 Experimental studies and phonological neutralisation	248
5.3.5 Summary	249
5.4 The GP analysis	250
5.4.1 The proposals	250
5.4.2 Phonological evidence	251
5.4.2.1 Spirantisation of velars: two analyses	251
5.4.2.2 Why do only velars spirantise?	257
5.4.3 Experimental evidence	259
5.4.4 The general implications for earlier problems	260
5.5 Conclusion	261
References	263