

Contents

1	The case of the fake police page — 1
1.1	The same story, told different — 2
1.2	Novak hits back — 3
1.3	Dismissed — 5
1.4	This is a book about satire — 6
2	The forest and the trees — 7
2.1	Trees, elephants, and wheels — 8
2.2	The nature of satire — 10
2.2.1	Satire as noun – the role of form and structure — 10
2.2.2	Satire as preposition – the satirical target — 12
2.2.3	Satire as adjective – perceptions and interpretations — 14
2.2.4	Satire as verb – the social action and cognitive resolution — 15
2.2.5	A complete sentence — 16
2.3	The structure of this book — 17
3	Laughing at the world: Satire, culture, and politics — 19
3.1	Putting genre to the Test — 19
3.1.1	Post Test, same score — 22
3.1.2	Who even needs definitions? — 24
3.1.3	Satire and the genre zombie — 27
3.2	Satire has the power to change the world . . . right? — 29
3.2.1	Satire does not have the power to change the world — 29
3.2.2	Satire, culture, and logical inconsistencies — 31
3.3	The political and the satirical — 32
3.4	Conclusion — 34
4	Fake news? Satire, news, and mass communication — 36
4.1	The First Wave: Gruner and the pursuit of persuasion — 38
4.1.1	Gruner: A pioneer of assessing satire comprehension — 39
4.1.2	Even more persuasion — 40
4.1.3	All in the Family — 41
4.1.4	Summary of early mass communications research — 42
4.2	The Second Wave: Late Night and Fake News — 43
4.2.1	Observational studies of soft news — 44
4.2.2	Enter <i>The Daily Show</i> — 45
4.2.3	From observational to experimental — 46
4.2.4	Message discounting and resource allocation — 48

4.2.5	Adding nuance: Satirical strategies —	51
4.2.6	And so it goes —	53
4.3	The Third Wave: Looking to the past to understand the future —	54
4.3.1	Humorous metaphors in satirical news —	54
4.3.2	An explication? —	56
4.3.3	Getting meta —	57
4.4	Checking in with Novak —	59
4.5	Signing off —	60
5	Social cognition: Modeling satire —	63
5.1	Koenraad Kuiper's perceptual theory of satire —	64
5.2	Paul Simpson's discursive model of satire —	68
5.2.1	The satirical prime: Look like something else —	69
5.2.2	The satirical dialectic: Create a clash —	69
5.2.3	How to do satire: Strategies for the dialectic —	70
5.3	Checking in with Novak —	76
5.4	Satirical uptake: Forming a satirical inference —	77
5.4.1	The fragility of satirical uptake —	78
5.4.2	The concept of incongruity —	80
5.5	Similarities between Kuiper's and Simpson's models —	81
5.6	Conclusion —	81
6	Mind games: Measuring satire comprehension —	83
6.1	Indirect evidence from mass communications —	83
6.2	Politically correct bedtime stories —	84
6.2.1	Extending Pfaff & Gibbs (1997) —	88
6.3	Psycholinguistic evidence for satirical uptake —	89
6.3.1	Verbal irony processing —	90
6.3.2	Connecting verbal irony research to satire —	91
6.4	Existing psycholinguistic studies of satire —	92
6.4.1	From headlines to full texts —	95
6.4.2	Minimally different satirical texts —	99
6.4.3	Segment-by-segment —	102
6.4.4	Word-by-word —	104
6.4.5	The comprehension conundrum —	106
6.5	Conclusion —	108

7	Subverting your expectations: Corpus linguistics, irony, and satire — 110
7.1	Start with a joke — 110
7.1.1	Lexical priming — 112
7.1.2	Lexical priming and semantic prosody — 114
7.1.3	Irony and the reversal of evaluation — 116
7.2	Lexical priming and satirical discourse — 118
7.2.1	Low death tolls and being shitty enough — 119
7.2.2	Reversal of evaluation in satirical headlines — 124
7.2.3	No way to prevent more corpus analysis — 125
7.3	Playing with words — 127
8	Artificial artificial intelligence: Can computers detect satire? — 129
8.1	Political satire and discursive integration — 130
8.2	Evidence for discursive integration — 131
8.2.1	Multidimensional analyses of political satire — 131
8.2.2	Reinventing the wheel? — 134
8.3	Machine learning? Computational analyses of satirical discourse — 135
8.4	Classification approaches to satirical discourse — 137
8.4.1	Satire and semantic validity — 138
8.4.2	From news articles to product reviews — 140
8.4.3	What are satirical product reviews? — 141
8.4.4	Fake news and common sense — 145
8.4.5	The next generation of classification — 146
8.5	The illusion of understanding — 148
8.6	Conclusion — 150
9	Satire and humor — 151
9.1	Defining humor — 151
9.2	Connecting satire and humor — 152
9.3	Simpson's claim: Satire is a form of humor — 155
9.3.1	The general theory of verbal humor — 155
9.3.2	Incongruity and incongruity resolution — 159
9.4	Can the GTVH explain satire? — 159
9.4.1	Language and narrative strategy — 160
9.4.2	Target — 161
9.4.3	Situation — 162
9.4.4	Logical mechanism and script opposition — 162
9.4.5	Summary of GTVH and satire — 164

9.5	Benign violations and satire —	165
9.5.1	Can the BVT explain satire? —	166
9.6	Conclusion —	167
10	Getting to the point: Satire and play —	169
10.1	A broad view of play —	169
10.1.1	Functions of play —	170
10.1.2	Characteristics of play —	170
10.2	Connecting satire to the broad view of play —	171
10.2.1	Satire and the five characteristics of play —	172
10.3	Play as an interdisciplinary glue —	176
10.3.1	Satire as social play —	176
10.3.2	Satire as mental play —	178
10.3.3	Satire as genre and language play —	179
10.3.4	Why so serious? —	180
11	A reasonable reader —	182
11.1	Supreme Court Rules Supreme Court Rules —	183
11.1.1	<i>The Onion's</i> Amicus Curiae Brief —	183
11.1.2	<i>The Babylon Bee's</i> Amicus Curiae Brief —	187
11.2	Petition DENIED —	189
12	What do we know about satire? —	190
12.1	Connecting satire and irony —	191
12.2	The dominance of satirical news —	191
12.3	Can't we all just get along? —	192
13	What do we know about satire? —	193
13.1	Conclusion —	196

References — 197

Index — 207